

Massachusetts POST Commission

100 Cambridge Street, 14th Floor, Boston, MA 02114

NON-ATTESTATION FORM

The Agency Head or designee (or appointing authority if completing this form for a chief) must complete this form if you **cannot** find that an officer possesses good moral character and fitness for employment in law enforcement. See 555 CMR 7.05.

You should use this non-attestation form if you

- have determined that an officer does not possess good moral character and fitness for employment,
 or
- decline to attest to an officer's good moral character and fitness for employment (for example, you are unable to or opt not to).

Justification for Not Attesting to Good Moral Character and Fitness for Employment

Any assessment of whether an officer possesses good moral character and fitness for employment shall take into account on-duty and off-duty conduct. See 555 CMR 7.05(1).

In making such an assessment, you also may rely on

- questionnaires,
- any guidance or forms approved by the Commission,
- performance reviews,

- relevant education,
- specialized training,
- professional awards,
- achievements,
- commendations by law enforcement agencies or officials or others,
- instances of imposed discipline,
- patterns of misconduct, and
- any other evidence of past performance.

For each instance of specific conduct cited, please address:

- 1. Any discipline imposed or decision issued by an authority as a result of the conduct, or the reason(s) why there was no discipline or decision;
- 2. The extent to which the officer complied with any such discipline or decision;
- 3. Any similar conduct allegedly undertaken by the officer subsequent to any such discipline or decision; and
- 4. The dates of each instance of conduct, and imposition of discipline or issuance of a decision.

Where applicable, you should also address the following questions:

- (1) Did the officer violate state or federal law? If so, what specific laws were violated, when and where?
- (2) Did the officer act consistently with recognized standards of ethics and conduct adopted by the employing agency? If no, what specific ethical standards were violated, when and where?
- (3) Did the officer act consistently with recognized standards set forth in the Law Enforcement Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct most recently adopted by the International Association of Chiefs of Police? If no, what specific conduct did the officer engage in that violated the Code of Ethics, when and where?
- (4) Is the officer worthy of the public trust and of the authority given to law enforcement officers? If no, why not?

Explanation: (Please provide a supplemental document if more space is needed.)		

Agency Compliance Declaration

(Signature) Agency:	(Printed Name & Title)	(Date)
Agency Head/Designee or App	ointing Authority:	
	test that the officer named above posse.	ments submitted to the Commission, and the sses the requisite good moral character and
	ered the Commission's <i>Questionnaire</i> ethe officer is out on leave.	for Law Enforcement Officer
Officer Recertification	and uploaded the Commission's <i>Ques</i> (if the questionnaire/oral interview han leave, this box should not be checked)	s not been administered due to the
Check one of the following:		
Collective bargaining unit's em	ail address:	
Officer's email address:		
	e a copy of this report, and supporting ive bargaining unit at the following emof the report:	
the basis for the agency's deter	ission will review this report and the domination and will make an independent naracter and fitness for employment.	<u> </u>
include: any guidance or forms	nts relied on to support the responses ne approved by the Commission, perform instances of imposed discipline, and in	nance reviews and any other

Officer's Right to Respond

The officer has the right to submit a written response to the Commission at postcoertification@mass.gov. See 555 CMR 7.05(2)(d). The officer must simultaneously provide a copy of the written response to the officer's employing agency.